

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/600,010	0 06/19/2003		Hu Yang	2039.005896/RFE	5999
37774	7590	10/04/2005		EXAMINER	
		GAN & AMERSON	LIPMAN, I	LIPMAN, BERNARD	
10333 RICHMOND, SUITE 1100 HOUSTON, TX 77042				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1713	

DATE MAILED: 10/04/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	ion No. Applicant(s)					
Office Ac	4:	10/600,010	YANG ET AL.					
Office Ac	tion Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Bernard Lipman	1713					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1) Responsive to	communication(s) filed on							
2a)☐ This action is F		 s action is non-final.						
3) Since this appli								
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>28,29</u>	4)⊠ Claim(s) 28,29 and 66-85 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>28 and 29</u> is/are rejected.								
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>66-85</u>	7) Claim(s) 66-85 is/are objected to.							
8)☐ Claim(s)	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers		·						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
	 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
	•							
Amaharant		•						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cite	ed (PTO_892)	4) 🗀 استاد المستاد الم	(DTO 442)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date								
3) Information Disclosure S	3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/1989/22/03. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>6/19&9/22/03</u> . 6) Other:								

Application/Control Number: 10/600,010 Page 2

Art Unit: 1713

DETAILED ACTION

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 3. Claims 28 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mathews et al, U. S. Patent 6,254,803.
- 4. Mathews et al teaches the modified ethylene/vinyl alcohol copolymers modified with acid scavengers for oxygen barriers as can be seen in column 7. The reference further teaches the use of diluent polymers in column 10. The use of ethylene/vinyl alcohol is not taught specifically as the diluent polymer. The use of ethylene/vinyl acetate is taught and, since ethylene/vinyl alcohol is similar to this and also similar to the modified ethylene/vinyl alcohol polymer being "diluted", it would be prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use ethylene/vinyl alcohol copolymer as the diluent polymer in the reference to Mathews et al.

Art Unit: 1713

5. Claims 66-85 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bernard Lipman whose telephone number is 571-272-1105. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5 Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu can be reached on 571-272-1114. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Bernard Lipman
Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1713

BL/hs